

Living on a flammable planet: interdisciplinary, cross-scalar and varied cultural lessons, prospects and challenges

Roos, Christopher; Scott, Andrew; Belcher, Claire ; Chaloner, William ; Aylen, Jonathan ; Bird, Rebecca; Coughlan, Michael; Johnson, Bart; Johnston, Fay; McMorrow, Julia ; Steelman, Toddi; Kettridge, Nicholas

DOI:

[10.1098/rstb.2015.0469](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0469)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Roos, C, Scott, A, Belcher, C, Chaloner, W, Aylen, J, Bird, R, Coughlan, M, Johnson, B, Johnston, F, McMorrow, J, Steelman, T & Kettridge, N 2016, 'Living on a flammable planet: interdisciplinary, cross-scalar and varied cultural lessons, prospects and challenges', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B*, vol. 371. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0469>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 04/10/2016.

Opinion piece: Living on a flammable planet: interdisciplinary, cross-scalar and varied cultural lessons, prospects and challenges. Christopher I. Roos, Andrew C. Scott, Claire M. Belcher, William G. Chaloner, Jonathan Aylen, Rebecca Bliege Bird, Michael R. Coughlan, Bart R. Johnson, Fay H. Johnston, Julia McMorrow, Toddi Steelman, the Fire and Mankind Discussion Group. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 2016 371 20150469; DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0469. Published 23 May 2016

<http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/371/1696/20150469>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

Living on a flammable planet: interdisciplinary, cross-scalar, and varied cultural lessons, prospects, and challenges

Journal:	<i>Philosophical Transactions B</i>
Manuscript ID	RSTB-2015-0469
Article Type:	Opinion piece
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Mar-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Roos, Christopher; Southern Methodist University, Department of Anthropology Scott, Andrew; Royal Holloway University of London, Earth Sciences Belcher, Claire; University of Exeter, wildFIRE Lab Chaloner, William; Royal Holloway University of London, Earth Sciences Aylen, Jonathan; University of Manchester Bliege Bird, Rebecca; Penn State University, Anthropology Coughlan, Michael; University of Georgia, Anthropology Johnson, Bart; University of Oregon, Department of Landscape Architecture Johnston, Fay; University of Tasmania, Menzies Institute for Medical Research McMorow, Julia ; The University of Manchester Steelman, Toddi; University of Saskatchewan, School of Environment and Sustainability
Issue Code: Click here to find the code for your issue.:	FIRE
Subject:	Environmental Science < BIOLOGY, Ecology < BIOLOGY
Keywords:	wildfire, climate change, smoke and health, fire-adaptive communities, fire management

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Living on a flammable planet: interdisciplinary, cross-scalar, and varied cultural lessons, prospects, and challenges

Christopher I. Roos^{1*†}, Andrew C. Scott², Claire M. Belcher³, William G. Chaloner², Jonathan Ayles⁴, Rebecca Bliege Bird⁵, Michael R. Coughlan⁶, Bart R. Johnson⁷, Fay H. Johnston⁸, Julia McMorrow⁹, Toddi Steelman¹⁰, and the Fire and Mankind Discussion Group¹¹

¹Department of Anthropology, Southern Methodist University, U.S.A.;
²Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, U.K.;
³wildFIRE Lab, Hatherly Laboratories, University of Exeter, U.K.;
⁴Manchester Business School, University of Manchester, U.K.;
⁵Department of Anthropology, Pennsylvania State University, U.S.A.;
⁶Department of Anthropology, University of Georgia, U.S.A.;
⁷Department of Landscape Architecture, University of Oregon, U.S.A.;
⁸Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Australia;
⁹School of Environment, Education, and Development, University of Manchester, U.K.;
¹⁰School of Environment and Sustainability, University of Saskatchewan, Canada;
¹¹Please see Acknowledgements.

Keywords: wildfire; fire-adaptive communities; fire management; climate change; smoke and health

0. Summary

Living with fire is a challenge for human communities because they are influenced by socio-economic, political, ecological, and climatic processes at various spatial and temporal scales. Over the course of two days, the authors discussed how communities could live with fire challenges at local, national, and transnational scales. Exploiting our diverse, international, and interdisciplinary expertise, we outline generalizable properties of fire-adaptive communities in diverse settings where cultural knowledge of fire is rich and diverse. At the national scale, we discussed policy and management challenges for countries that have diminishing fire knowledge, but for whom global climate change will bring new fire problems. Finally, we assessed major fire challenges that transcend national political boundaries, including the health burden of smoke plumes and the climate consequences of wildfires. It is clear that to best address the broad range of fire problems, a holistic wildfire scholarship must develop common agreement in working terms and build across disciplines. We must also communicate our understanding of fire and its importance to the media, politicians, and the general public.

1. Introduction

*Author for correspondence (croos@smu.edu).
†Present address: Department of Anthropology, Southern Methodist University, Box 750336, Dallas, TX 75275-0336

As the contributions to this issue demonstrate, the role of fire on Earth and the challenges that it poses to human societies are myriad. These challenges cut across particular geographic, social, and temporal scales that require equivalent scientific and policy emphasis. From transnational Earth system impacts [1], to domestic impacts on sovereign nations [2], to impacts on local communities [3], and the individuals who make up communities, the perceptions, decision-making, and prioritisation of policy goals are built upon cultural and historical experiences [4-6] that have legacy effects, lags, and feedbacks across temporal scales [7-12]. Although there is a growing literature on building fire-adapted communities [13, 14], it is important to recognize that there is both heterogeneity and variability in the historical, technological, cultural, and environmental contexts in which humans perceive and respond to fire challenges [15], and that in turn these have cross-scalar feedbacks through socio-political structures [2, 16], intergenerational cultural transmission [5], historical ecology of landscapes and biomes [12, 17, 18], and even fire-atmosphere-climate feedbacks [19-21].

Appropriately tackling heterogeneity and cross-scalar issues in human-fire dynamics is challenging, in part, because of the atomised intellectual contexts of fire scholarship today [22]. Most research tends to be undertaken by European, American, or Australian physical or biological scientists, who focus on the costly fire challenges in their industrial or post-industrial societies [13, 14]. These studies tend to focus on national or regional-scales [23, 24], although global analyses are also common [1, 25]. Human dimensions research [26], tends to be ahistorical, treating these fire challenges as exclusively contemporary phenomena for which history is either absent or irrelevant.

Over the course of two days ensconced at Chicheley Hall, our international, interdisciplinary group discussed these issues at three scales. At one scale, we evaluated the lessons learned from community-scale research on living with fire in varied cultural settings. At another scale, our discourse focused on national-scale issues for fire management in economically developed countries with diminishing cultural knowledge of fire, but for whom a warming planet will make fire issues an unavoidable concern, such as the United Kingdom. Finally, we discussed the unique policy challenges posed by transnational fire impacts, particularly the costly effects of wildfire smoke on human health across international borders, and of carbon emissions on global climates. We summarize our discussions below. Although our group was diverse in its disciplinary expertise and geographic experience, we make no claim that our discussion and its summary here are exhaustive. Rather, we aimed to distil our knowledge for lessons across scales, with a unique emphasis on our distinctly British surroundings as well as our varied cultural expertise, and with a concern for modern issues and future challenges.

2. Lessons from fire-adapted communities in varied cultural settings

The process of building and sustaining fire-adaptive communities in contemporary landscapes presents a multitude of social and ecological challenges. We identified a suite of common issues that can help communities increase their adaptive capacity to changing fire regimes in the context of larger drivers of environmental, demographic and socio-economic change – hence the term adopted here, ‘fire-adaptive’ rather than ‘fire-adapted’. Building on our collective personal and professional experiences in regions with active fire cultures and recent histories of dealing with socio-ecological fire challenges, our discussions emphasized two domains of human communities and their environments that impact their fire-related adaptive capacity: 1)

knowledge, values, and practice; and 2) landscape relationships. This list of propositions (Table 1) is neither exhaustive nor proscriptive. Rather, it is a generalized set of properties that have given cultures long-standing (multi-millennial, in some cases), apparently sustainable relationships with fire, even in the context of changing climates, technologies, and economic and political relationships.

It is important to note that even in the small sample derived from our discussion group's expertise, landscapes and their associated communities were highly heterogeneous, not just in terms of fire and ecosystem mosaics but in terms of people, their relationship to fire, and how these in turn reflect and affect broader social issues. Similarly, the insertion of fire into a less fire-prone landscape via climate change, vegetation change, or novel human ignition sources may generate new social issues, including disparities of power and equity. For this reason, our list includes not only issues related to biophysical adaptations to fire but also to the ways in which diverse communities of people interact with fire through socioeconomic relationships.

On the basis of current knowledge, we developed a set of propositions that we elaborate below, followed by two community case studies that illustrate how varied fire-adaptive communities can be, as well as their shared properties and contemporary challenges.

2.1 Knowledge, values, and practice

Fire-adaptive communities will *derive knowledge of how to manage the land from multiple sources and perspectives*. Ultimately, sustainable fire management will require place-based solutions but for many communities, formal scientific knowledge is difficult to access, interpret, and when poorly adapted to local circumstances, it may not provide an efficient or appropriate, short-term solution. Traditions of local knowledge and practice provide a wealth of tried and tested information that should be considered in designing local fire management plans [4, 5], and may grant legitimacy to fire management institutions.

Fire-adaptive communities *recognize traditions of place-based knowledge and practices related to fire*. Globally, large numbers of people use fire as a tool to sustain livelihoods in ways that have been handed down across many generations [27]. Examples of livelihood fire-use range from indigenous Australians [4, 28, 29] and North Americans [30-34], South Asian forest dwellers [35], European farmers [36], to hunters, farmers and herders in tropical savannahs [5, 37-41]. People set fires for numerous reasons that often relate to the reduction of socioeconomic risks and improvement of wellbeing. As a result of the long-standing importance of fire use, peoples around the world retain significant traditional, place-based knowledge of fire ecology [27, 31, 32]. Such knowledge is critical to designing and maintaining fire-adaptive strategies, and empowering local communities to manage fire locally may be preferable to alternative solutions when long-developed, place-based knowledge and practice is present [5].

Fire-adaptive communities *identify a range of potential fire regimes and how they might differentially affect people, ecosystems and the physical environment*. Landscape fire exhibits a broad range of behaviour and effects [42]. In some landscapes, multiple fire regimes may be possible. Choosing the appropriate fire regime to promote or sustain will depend on both long- and short-term social and ecological effects [9, 43-45]. Cultural

values derived from fire-affected landscapes are relative to the preferences and incentives for different social groups and individuals. Choosing which fire regime to promote may encounter resistance from stakeholders with competing interests and values. The classic example of such a dynamic is the forester-shepherd conflict [46, 47], where foresters work to exclude fire to improve tree recruitment and shepherds introduce fire to improve forage. The first step to finding the appropriate fire regime(s) will involve explicit recognition of the variability of options and their differential effects followed by a recognition of potential conflicts of interest and power differentials among the stakeholders.

Fire-adaptive communities are *committed to the long-term maintenance of fire-related ecosystem patterns and processes (especially those important to human provisioning and wellbeing)*. It goes without saying that short-term, stop-gap solutions do not represent adaptive ones. To be truly adaptive, communities need to understand and value long-term solutions that may require profound change in how the future is perceived and valued [48]. In short, our observations indicate that fire-adaptive communities need to be well-informed with widespread access to knowledge. Although knowledge equity is necessary for these communities, it is insufficient on its own to enhance fire-related adaptive capacity. Institutions, social rules, and particular socio-ecological interconnections are necessary to promote and maintain desirable coexistence with fire [13]. In many cases, new forms of fire-adaptive governance systems are needed that can transform maladaptive feedbacks between fire and policies into adaptive ones, reversing what has been termed the socio-ecological pathology of wildfire risk [24].

2.2 Landscape relationships

By the term “landscape relationships”, we refer to the network of social and ecological interconnections across scales, as viewed from the perspective of an individual or community. Fire-adaptive communities will have *broad recognition by individuals of the benefits of fire-promoted resources and amenities relative to the tradeoffs of burning*. Where individuals gain or perceive benefits from the application of fire that outweigh the immediate costs of burning, both economic and ecological, they are likely to support or initiate burning in the ecosystems in question. Native communities in Northern California support burning in part because many individuals derive livelihoods or identities directly from fire-supported or fire-promoted resources, such as willow and hazel for basketry, or deer for networks of sharing and consumption [31]. Aboriginal people in remote parts of Australia and in the grasslands of Brazil burn in order to increase their hunting efficiencies and to provision networks of sharing and exchange [49, 50]. In the U.S., landowners who have experienced the costs of fire directly or who value its ecological benefits, are more likely to support prescribed burning efforts [51, 52].

Fire-adaptive communities will display *collective action that supports individual benefits from fire management while protecting the public good*. In communities with long traditions of fire use, there are social structures in place that facilitate individual decision-making over fire application, as well as providing a system of rights and regulations governing fire at the community, or landscape scale. In some cases, rights to burn are held by individual landowners; in others, rights to burn are held collectively. In most cases, there are strong traditions

or sanctions that specify when, how, and who can burn, and violations of those rights are subject to strong community sanctions, fines, or other punishments [53].

Management is locally driven but interacts with policies and drivers at multiple scales. Local, place-based management is arguably the most cost-effective and economical solution to sustain beneficial fire regimes. Ideally, fire management responds to local socioeconomic needs and broader scale market demands, and successfully navigates air-shed health and safety concerns [43]. Furthermore, local management provides legitimacy to programmes that might originate at higher levels of governance hierarchies, thereby creating pathways for sustainable management [54].

Cultural fire relationships are needed to *sustain or restore the socio-ecological networks that are supported and derived from the fire regime*. Communities in which fire is embedded culturally rely not just on didactic individual interactions with fire, but use fire to support a network of human social relationships, while recognizing how fire affects ecosystem-wide food webs [9]. Complex linkages between people are generated through sharing and exchanging products from fire-promoted landscapes [50, 55], while those linkages extend outward to connect human social networks with the ecological networks of which they are a part [56].

Fire-adaptive communities may increase fire incidence, frequency, and scope, while reducing the intensity and scale of individual fires, thus *creating vegetated landscape mosaics that produce and control the kinds of fire effects desired and acceptable to achieve the fire-generated benefits*. They may reduce the frequency or spread of unintended lightning fires, and may increase landscape diversity by creating smaller-scale vegetation mosaics through pyric succession [12, 57-62]. Anthropogenic fire regimes also may buffer against unpredictable climate-driven changes in fire behaviour and create ecological stability in the face of non-equilibrium vegetation dynamics [38, 63].

2.3 Community profiles – fire adapted communities in varied cultural settings

To illustrate these characteristics in fire-adaptive communities in varied cultural and environmental settings, we provide two brief case studies of European agro-pastoral communities from French Western Pyrenees and Aboriginal communities from Western Australia. Although our group discussions included other Western and non-Western communities that share the aforementioned domains, the case studies below illustrate the shared, generalizable properties of fire-adaptive communities from which we may derive lessons for living with fire now and in the future.

2.3.1 French Western Pyrenees

Knowledge, values, and practice

Over at least the last millennium, ethnically Basque communities developed a particular set of land-use and tenure systems that compliment, rather than combat, the necessary role of fire in maintaining the pastoral landscape. Historically, fire management involved a variety of burning practices centred on the enhancement and maintenance of forage and fibre in seasonally flammable grassland, shrub, and woodland patches. Today,

1
2
3
4 fire use is mostly limited to low severity pasture burning, and nearly everyone in the community has first-hand
5 experience with fire. The vast majority of community members value fire use and are generally unconcerned
6 with any potential associated costs [36]. Although knowledge and practice of fire-use is still commonplace and
7 is passed on to successive generations, there are fewer and fewer young people choosing farming as a
8 career. As a consequence, the practice is slowly being lost and remaining farmers are struggling to keep up
9 with pastures in need of burning.
10
11

12 *Landscape relationships*

13
14 The Western Pyrenees mountains are located in an Atlantic climate zone, receiving up to 1600mm of
15 precipitation annually with cool, wet winters and warm summers with little drought. Around 8,000 years ago,
16 the area was dominated by relatively mesic forest ecosystems and what must have been centennial to
17 millennial fire return intervals [64, 65]. With the introduction and intensification of agricultural and pastoral land-
18 use, high elevation areas (> 900 masl), south facing slopes, and valley bottoms were deforested, thus initiating
19 annual to decadal fire return intervals on more xeric slopes [36, 64, 66]. This activity increased the overall
20 flammability of the landscape by extending the ranges of fire-adapted vegetation. Yet, Pyrenean landscapes
21 and their social components coevolved in adaptive ways that permitted long-term, sustainable settlement and
22 land-use.
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Landscape flammability is relatively consistent with topographic constraints on both fire and land-use,
30 considering the limitations for European crop cultivation [67]. Fire-managed resources are also predominantly
31 on communally owned property which constitutes a large proportion of the overall land base. For example, in
32 Larrau, France roughly 9,000 out of 12,500 ha are communal and over 5,000 ha are used as pasture
33 maintained with fire. Private lands that border fire-maintained pastures are buffered by natural and artificial
34 barriers to fire spread. Trails, streams, and other topographic features form fire breaks. In addition, ignitions
35 are timed seasonally and opportunistically during periods when woodlands are too humid to burn. As a
36 consequence, private property is rarely threatened by landscape fire.
37
38
39
40
41

42 With fewer farmers on the landscape, farm abandonment has ensued. Decoupling of fire regimes from land-
43 use and tenure from decreased use-intensity has increased the likelihood of high-severity fires as flammable
44 shrub lands invade former pastures. If current trends continue, fire risks on private property will almost
45 certainly increase. Climate change, bringing warmer and drier summers, may further exacerbate this growing
46 problem.
47
48
49

50 **2.3.2 Western Australian Aboriginal community**

51 *Knowledge, values, and practice*

52
53 Aboriginal people living in the xeric hummock grasslands of Western Australia use fire extensively at
54 landscape scales for many reasons, including to facilitate traditional hunting practices. Burning increases
55 foraging returns for burrowed prey, particularly varanid lizards and other herpetofauna [29, 63, 68]. While
56 some spot fires are lit during the summer months, the vast majority of broadcast fires are ignited during the
57 winter months, when fuels are dry and winds are strong and consistent. In these communities, knowledge
58
59
60

about fire and its ecological effects is widespread and not only gained through everyday practice (children begin burning at a very young age), but passed down through the myth associated with the Dreaming, which instils burning with social, ecological, and ritual significance [69].

Landscape relationships

In these ecosystems, lightning is seasonally (November-April) a major source of fire ignition, while aboriginal hunters are a major ignition source outside of the monsoon season. Hunting fires mediate climate-driven effects on fire size [4]. Increasing inter-annual variability in rainfall causes temporal and seasonal peaks in fire size under a lightning-driven fire regime, but not in landscapes buffered by indigenous hunting fires. This is due to the differing response of aboriginal hunters to increases in grass growth: hunters light numerous small fires across a wide area as fuels become more continuous, while lightning ignites a few fires that spread widely [4]. Hunters respond to increases in prey density associated with periods of high rainfall, which increases energetic return (calories gained per hour of work expended) from hunting. These increased return rates shift time allocation toward greater investments in such hunting, and the returns from hunting are further invested into social relationships via sharing, creating networks of cooperation and trust among community members [70-72]. Anthropogenic fires are kept small by actively seeking downwind firebreaks or burning patches surrounded by previous burns; individuals are motivated to do so by the threat of social sanctions via traditional punishment rituals for out-of-control burning. Anthropogenic fire increases pyrodiversity – fire-generated ecological heterogeneity and diversity [62] – and reduces both the size of, and distance between unburned patches, reducing the cost of access to post-fire refugia for animals living in the region and more than doubling the density of edge loving species like kangaroo and monitor lizard [49, 68].

Prior to the 1960's, when there were several nomadic groups living in the region, anthropogenic influence was widespread over vast expanses of dune fields and sand plains [73, 74], but today, burning is limited primarily to regions close to communities and vehicle tracks. Aboriginal subsistence fires in the Western Desert thus act, and have acted possibly over millennia, as an intermediate disturbance regime that increases landscape heterogeneity and dampens climate-driven fire cycles. The withdrawal of aboriginal influence over much of the region may have contributed to the widespread animal extinctions of the 20th century [75-77]. The continued maintenance of ecological diversity and traditional knowledge of fire in this remote region is possible due to the lack of primary industry (pastoralism, agriculture), tourism, or urbanization, which in turn reduces sources of conflict over fire and allows aboriginal people to be the primary decision-makers over the timing and patterning of landscape burning. Continuing threats to aboriginal livelihoods make the region increasingly vulnerable to extensive wildfires in the face of climate change, which is causing both increased rainfall and greater interannual variability [78], both of which enhance the risk of extreme fire events [79, 80].

3. Building fire-adaptive communities in industrialized societies with dwindling fire cultures

In contrast to the parts of the world where experience with fire is commonplace and evolving with directional climate change [81, 82] and changes in human settlement patterns [83], there are heavily populated parts of the world where fire has slipped from the political and cultural consciousness [6]. These are primarily countries

and temperate regions with industrialised, urbanised economies where broad awareness of wildfire is low [84], but wildfire risk is expected to increase with climate change [25, 85]. These areas include Northwest Europe, especially the United Kingdom (U.K.), Netherlands and Germany, and to some extent, the eastern United States and countries such as Sweden, Japan, South Korea and New Zealand. Wildfires occur annually in these areas, but destructive fires are episodic and concentrated during hot, dry, and windy weather conditions [86]. This presents the challenge that political awareness is equally sporadic, falling during wet years, while fuel loads accumulate and the potential for intense fires increases [87] – a temporal disconnect still largely unrecognised by policy-makers in Northwest Europe. Indeed, the fire suppression paradigm in countries like the U.K. indicates a similar disconnect between land management and fire policy [88], the consequences of which are already well known in Southern Europe [89].

In urbanised northwest Europe, there are few truly 'wildland' fires, as most ecosystems are semi-natural, sculpted by millennia of human intervention, including historical modification of forest to open moorland, heath and agricultural habitats by felling and landscape burning [90-92]. Yet cultural awareness of fire has diminished as traditional burning practices have been lost with urbanisation and industrialisation [6, 93]. Fire is an ancient agricultural tool in Europe [67, 94], but has been abandoned in Central Europe and the Baltic countries when compared to the Mediterranean Basin. For instance, in Norway, the heritage of fire management disappeared in the 20th century with the end of heathland burning by traditional farmers, resulting in increased fuel loads [95]. More recently, stubble burning after grain harvests was severely restricted in the U.K. by the Crop Residues (Burning) Act 1993. These examples show a steady loss in understanding of fire benefits and highlight the close linkage between fire regimes and socio-economic transitions [26], such as the move from rural to urban living. Importantly, legislation at the national-scale demonstrates a failure to recognise fire within a socio-ecological framework [13], thus restricting the power of local communities to adapt to fire.

In the most developed areas of the U.K., such as southeast England, the landscape is typically a mosaic of relatively small, discontinuous patches of vegetation within the built environment [96]. This low fuel connectivity means reduced potential for fire to spread, so the impact of a fire is more related to its specific location rather than its spatial extent. Such granularity means the exposure of infrastructure and assets to fire is high, even for small fires. The resulting socio-economic impact is comparable to the issues faced at the wildland-urban interface (WUI) of more fire-prone countries [83, 97, 98]. Moreover, multiple land uses can result in conflicting priorities between the needs of wildfire management and other stakeholder groups [99, 100], especially in densely populated areas. We elaborate on this further by exploring the U.K. example in detail.

3.1 United Kingdom as a case study

Although it may surprise many residents of temperate regions, countries like the U.K. have a long-established wildfire problem. Ecosystems in the U.K. have experienced wildfires for 100s of millions of years [101] and there is a history of wildfires in the types of ecosystems that dominate today, dating back to the start of the Holocene [102]. Wildfire hazard is predicted to increase over time with changes in climate and land use [103,

104]. Fire and fuels management may help to counter the higher risk of ignition brought by higher temperatures and lower rainfall [105].

The term 'wildland fire' is not used in the U.K. because the landscape is predominantly managed and includes little true wilderness. Most wildfires are started by humans, although this is hard to confirm as fire investigations are rare for non-structural fires [106]. The largest wildfires occur on moorlands and heaths [107], including the most environmentally damaging peat fires [108-110]. Peat fires evoke less political and public concern as their impacts are primarily environmental. While the environment is a priority for amenity groups, such as the National Trust, and water companies, it has a lower rating for Fire and Rescue Services. Therefore, a fire suppression paradigm dominates, with zero tolerance to all fires, even those that are ecologically beneficial. Recurrence intervals of more than five years between major fires means they seldom happen more than once within the political cycle of Parliamentary elections, so wildfire fades from political prominence in wetter years.

The majority of fires are small rural-urban interface incidents on grassland, woodland and agricultural land, where they impact on adjacent properties, human health, and infrastructure [2]. Even relatively small fires can have a major impact on risk to human life and wellbeing, causing economic disruption and ecological damage in areas where amenity is highly prized [111]. Such fires are likely to become more common as demand for housing increases the density of ignition sources as well as demands for fire suppression. Fire therefore needs to be included in the U.K.'s development control planning system. In this respect, wildfire risk management should to be considered in a manner analogous to flood risk management [112]. Although fire is beginning to be included in U.K. agri-environment support schemes, it has yet to infiltrate development control planning [2].

The two polarised narratives of fire as either "good" or "bad" has crystallised around the controversial use of prescribed fire on peat moorland for grouse shooting [109]. Game-keepers are keen to maintain rotational burning of heather while conservation groups are anxious to avoid fire-damage to biodiversity, nesting birds or water quality [113, 114]. Smouldering peat fires [110, 115] are a particular concern for moorland carbon stores, peat erosion, biodiversity, air and landscape quality, and discoloration of drinking water, resulting in the need for costly ecological restoration [116]. Preventing 'severe wildfire' is therefore a unifying goal that both game-keepers and amenity groups can agree upon, although they differ in their preferred risk-reduction strategies (fuel management by prescribed burning versus ecological resilience by rewetting). Nevertheless, controlled burning remains part of an accepted land management regime, and could be argued as a historical practice that is part of the U.K.'s cultural identity, particularly in areas such as the New Forest, or Dartmoor and where moorland management for game practices are concerned.

Awareness of wildfire risk is highest at a local scale, where conflicts of interest between stakeholders are sometimes overcome by collaborative fire groups made up of firefighters, landowners and amenity associations [117]. These fire groups and their national equivalents, the Scottish and the England Wales and Wildfire Forums, have emerged as an informal cross-sector solution to fragmented governance and national policy [2].

Global warming and ongoing demographic, settlement, and cultural changes mean that the wildfire problem in the U.K. will continue to evolve over the coming century. Whereas climate change may make parts of the U.K. more fire prone, the decline in traditional rural culture means diminishing cultural knowledge, values, and practices associated with fire. Ongoing expansion of peri-urban settlement into rural landscapes will add new stakeholder conflicts. The rural-urban interface (or WUI, in other contexts) will require landscape-scale risk- and fire-management [118, 119], but if lessons are to be learned from fire-prone settings, management will also need to be local. For some contexts, wildfire risk is being integrated into an overall land management regime [105]. This requires institutional and community recognition that not all fire is damaging – controlled fire is a traditional management tool in fire-tolerant ecosystems and indeed beneficial to many ecosystems [120]. Fire must be viewed holistically, with both managed fire and wildfire lying on a continuum in terms of severity and frequency. Resumption of traditional burning practices in appropriate areas may be one approach to managing landscapes to reduce the risk of catastrophic fires and the associated environmental damage and social disruption. Whether fire impacts are deemed good or bad depends on the desired outcome, and so where multiple land use dominates, there are cultural judgements to be made about which ecosystem services are to be prioritised. To enable long-term, adaptive capacity to a future with fire (and its attendant uncertainties), national policy may need to provide incentives and structures for local communities to develop the knowledge, values, and practices necessary to provide legitimate, appropriate solutions to evolving fire problems. To this end, widespread access to knowledge is key, although in the U.K., much more research is required (Supplementary Online Material).

4. Transnational issues for fire in a warming world

In some countries, such as the U.K., episodic national interest in wildfire problems result in little policy action, whereas in fire-prone countries, policy can generate socio-ecological pathologies [24]. We have made the case that in varied cultural and ecological settings, adaptive capacity for coexisting with wildfire is greatest when knowledge, values, and practices are locally situated and in which landscape relationships link individuals in networks of ecological, health, and economic benefits of the supported fire regime. However, wildfire also creates challenges that transcend individual communities and international boundaries. For terrestrial borders, fire can literally migrate from one country to the next, although the aerial by-products of wildfire have the furthest geographic reach and cause the greatest challenge to human health and to Earth's climate. Inaction is clearly undesirable, and we look for models of transboundary governance strategies developed in other contexts. Finally, we identify the need for better integration of research and scholarship on fire issues that itself transcends international borders.

4.1 Wildfires and emissions

4.1.1 Direct impacts of smoke on public health

Fire emissions are a complex and dynamic mixture of hundreds of different compounds including gases and aerosols. The predominant gas is carbon dioxide but others include oxides of nitrogen and sulphur, carbon monoxide and methane. The smoke from fires also contains the constituents for the formation of secondary

pollutants, including ground-level ozone. Many of these gases have well recognized adverse health impacts. Emitted aerosols include elemental and organic carbon compounds and are often measured as the mass of suspended particulate matter (PM). PM is the constituent most strongly associated with adverse health impacts, including the exacerbation of cardiorespiratory diseases and increased mortality [121]. When smoke emissions affect large human populations they present a serious public health hazard. Smoke from smouldering combustion in deep peat or dense forest can linger for weeks under inversions and create especially severe and prolonged pollution episodes. While most public health experts advocate for reduction in particulate air pollution wherever possible, a world without landscape fire is neither possible nor desirable. Not all fires are equally bad. There are many instances where fires will occur and may be more environmentally benign than the alternatives and where fires are a passing phenomenon of a season, which should be accommodated to mitigate future fire threats. The proper issue is one of balance and resilience.

Documented transnational smoke issues have occurred in Europe, North America and elsewhere. For example, agricultural burning in Eastern Europe can send smoke to the Scandinavian countries [122], and wildfires in Canada have cast palls in the eastern U.S. However, the most notorious transboundary offense is the Southeast Asian "haze" that has resulted for several decades from the burning of tropical rainforest and peatlands, largely driven by land conversion into large-scale palm oil and pulpwood plantations [123]. This is a human-created disaster that involves both feckless burning and public health impacts, and exemplifies the need for governance across national borders. Fire emissions from tropical deforestation and peat conversion locally in Southeast Asia have the potential to contribute to serious regional health problems from smoke exposure in countries beyond those where the fires are located [121, 124], with the haze of particulate matter and gasses transported hundreds of kilometres [42]. For example in 1997, the fires in Indonesia increased hospital admissions [125] and mortality [126] in Malaysia, and infant and neonatal deaths in Indonesia [127].

4.1.2 Wildfire impacts on climate

Emissions of greenhouse gases from wildfires are often considered carbon neutral, with emissions rapidly sequestered in subsequent vegetation regrowth; notably within savannah ecosystems [128]. However, when such fires lead to a shift in vegetation or a loss of soil carbon sequestered over millennia, emissions contribute to global climate forcing [129] and can have global climate impacts [1]. Peatlands represent one such global carbon store that has accumulated over millennia and is at risk from deep burning fires [123]. In 1997, emissions of 0.81-2.57 Gt carbon from fires on carbon-rich peats in Indonesia were equivalent to 13-40% of global fossil fuel emissions [130]. While the average annual release of 0.19 Gt of carbon from southeast Asia peatland fires over the last 19 years (1997-2014; [131]) by itself is not likely to exert major radiative forcing, it will add to the atmospheric burden of greenhouse gases, and might become amplified if large fires become more frequent with climate change. Further, northern peatlands cover a greater area, and contain potentially five times more soil carbon (500-600 Gt) than tropical peatlands [132]. These high latitudes are the fastest warming regions on the planet, with temperatures increasing at approximately twice the global average [133]. Changes in the hydrological cycle through industrial development [134] and drying out of peat associated with climate change [135], coupled with high severity fire, is likely to result in the degradation of boreal peatland ecosystems and losses of long-term carbon storage [108, 136]. In addition to these impacts on carbon

emissions and storage, plumes of smoke haze circumnavigate the globe, altering the radiative balance of the atmosphere [137] and affect regional rainfall patterns [138].

4.2 Governance of transnational issues

A fundamental challenge for addressing transnational and trans-border fire issues is the governance of the complex, adaptive system of interconnected human, ecological, and climatic actors that are present but divided across disconnected political jurisdictions. The term 'governance', in contrast with 'government', suggests collections of diverse parties with different levels of authority at local, regional, national and international levels who aim to address complex problems across borders [139, 140], such as the Southeast Asian haze issue [141]. Globally, we have no practical models for managing or governing the multiple drivers and consequences of fire across borders.

Our discussion suggests that the potential directions forward are threefold. First, we need to better understand how current models for governing complex problems across borders could be applicable to the challenge of fire. For instance, drawing from parallel policy arenas such as global water governance [140, 142-145] could be productive. These arenas already must consider how local actions influence regional to global processes and vice versa. Loosely coupled governance arrangements that can link local, regional, national and international efforts may be most promising [145], especially if done via mechanisms that are adaptive to both local conditions and rapidly changing environments. The ability to incorporate and respect different kinds of knowledge, including traditional knowledge, local knowledge and science, while embracing uncertainty and the need for flexibility to adapt to dynamic conditions, are key design features for longer-term sustainability [140].

Second, existing transboundary agreements that already apply to fire research and fire management could be considered as models for policy solutions. While many of these partnerships pertain to facilitating suppression efforts, they have cultivated relationships that could become a basis for a loose governance system that takes a more proactive look at broader issues related to fire. For instance, resource sharing agreements across borders for personnel, aircraft and other resources as well as the regional fire networks created by the Global Fire Management Center (much of it under United Nation auspices) offer a few pathways.

Third, ongoing negotiations related to the Kyoto Protocol and the recent Paris Climate Accord offer an opportunity to put fire on the management and governance agenda, as opposed to just the science agenda, particularly as a more important consideration in both tropical and boreal contexts.

4.3 Challenges across disciplinary boundaries

Importantly, there is disconnect between how fire is researched—as a subset of major disciplines—and how it functions in the world and across borders. It has not existed as its own intellectual entity, a situation that creates problems of communication and understanding. Although there was not unanimity among our group on how transdisciplinary integration might be best achieved, or whether such an approach need be given a

name, investment in the nascent field of 'pyrogeography' – the holistic study of fire on Earth [15, 26] – may be one way to provide unity to the varied fire research programs across the globe. Regardless of the label used to describe an integrated domain of fire scholarship, its purview must extend beyond the sciences and engineering to include social sciences and humanities.

A grand unified theory of all these perspectives is not necessary, but clarification on conceptual language and a lexicon sufficient to make communication possible is desirable and is currently missing from the many fields that study fire. For instance, key terms such as fire regimes [9], fire frequency, fire season [146], fire intensity and fire severity [147] are unstable across disciplines. A holistic fire scholarship must develop common agreement in working terms and build across disciplines. An example of such efforts include the adaptation of Pyne's historical narrative of human fire-use [148] into the hypothetical relationships between the 'pyric phases' of Bowman and colleagues [26], some of which are evaluated in by Balch and colleagues [7]. Nonetheless, short of a major institutional shakeup of academia, fire researchers will likely have to communicate to two disparate audiences: their colleagues across the fire sciences but also those in their traditional disciplines. Creating the cultural competencies to engage across disciplines in respectful and curious ways would be a hallmark of success for 21st century fire research.

Another indicator of success would be the effectiveness of fire scholarship in supporting cross-scalar adaptive capacity for 21st century fire problems. One of our greatest challenges is communicating our science to non-scientists including the media, politicians and the general public. Often debates about fire are polarized by the media where one view is right and the other wrong and the complexities are rarely addressed [109]. As the climate changes, global populations grow, and settlements and infrastructure expand further into flammable landscapes this will become an increasing problem that needs a fuller discussion among all affected: stakeholders, policy makers, and scientists.

5. Concluding thoughts

What recent decades of research and scholarship has made clear is that both humanity and the Earth have remarkably rich and intertwined fire histories [8, 42, 56]. Imagining that we could live without fire is both folly and impossible. Importantly, our combustion habits – both fossil fuels combustion and landscape burning [6, 7] – ensure that we are building new dynamism into our social-ecological relationship with fire through climate change [25, 81, 85]. We must learn to live with fire, and we can learn quite a bit about the generalizable properties of fire-adaptive communities by expanding our lens beyond Western, industrialized social orders and economies. Such varied cultural lessons should be received with humility and an awareness that cross-scalar interactions in the human realm (economic, social, and political hierarchies) make specific analogies of fire-adaptiveness across contexts problematic. Nonetheless, there are a few governance observations that seem to apply across contexts that are valuable for those settings with and without well-developed cultures of fire. Importantly, communication and knowledge need to move freely through the community and across scales of governance. Decisions about fire-use and fuel manipulation need to be locally legitimate, either through their support of cultural or economic needs of the community or through their enrichment of other social-ecological properties desired by the community. Management and planning need to account for

processes, benefits, and impacts across time-scales: before, during, and after fires. With demographic, economic, and climatic change certain but unpredictable, human-fire relationships must retain sufficient social and ecosystem diversity to provide adaptive capacity and resilience in the face of such changes.

Finally, it was clear from our discussion that fire scholarship is reaching a watershed moment where the potential of an integrated realm of fire science, ecology, social science, engineering, and humanities may be achievable. Although there was significant enthusiasm for the recognition of 'pyrogeography' as a transdisciplinary umbrella under which fire scholarship could unite, unanimity was not achieved in support of this label. With the lack of significant alternatives, however, pyrogeography may yet be the field that begins to unify the disparate threads of fire scholarship. The breadth and diversity of scholarship represented in the contributions to this special issue all fit comfortably within the pyrogeography rubric and this collection of papers may serve as a springboard from which pyrogeography continues to grow.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all of the participants at the Fire and Mankind – Further Discussion Meeting held at Chicheley Hall on September 16 and 17, 2015. All participants contributed to the dialog that is now represented in this review paper. In alphabetical order the Fire and Mankind Discussion Group are: Sally Archibald, Jennifer Balch, David Beerling, William Bond, David Bowman, Matthew Carroll, Stefan Doerr, Rob Gazzard, Rory Hadden, Victoria Hudspith, Nick Kettridge, James Millington, Susan Page, Mitchell Power, Stephen Pyne, Francesco Restuccia, Cristina Santín, Tom Swetnam, Nicholas Walding, and Martin Wooster. We would also like to thank Deborah Martin for her contributions to our discussions.

Authors' Contributions

All listed authors, including the Fire and Mankind Discussion Group, contributed to the discussion upon which this paper is based and contributed content, references, and insight in drafting the section texts. MRC and BRJ led the discussion and drafted the section on the community-scale adaptiveness; JA and JM led the discussion and drafted the section on the United Kingdom and less fire-prone settings, FHJ and TS led the discussion and drafted the section on transnational issues. RBB wrote the case study on Western Australia. MRC wrote the case study on the Pyrenees. CIR, ACS, CMB, WGC assembled the final version of the paper.

Competing Interests

We have no competing interests

Funding

The discussion meeting upon which this paper is based was supported by the Royal Society.

References

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <p>[1] Bowman, D.M.J.S., Balch, J.K., Artaxo, P., Bond, W.J., Carlson, J.M., Cochrane, M.A., D'Antonio, C.M., DeFries, R.S., Doyle, J.C., Harrison, S.P., et al. 2009 Fire in the Earth System. <i>Science</i> 324, 481-484.</p> | <p>[2] Gazzard, R., McMorow, J. & Ayles, J. 2016 Wildfire policy and management in England: an evolving response from Fire and Rescue Services, forestry and crosssector groups. <i>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences</i>.</p> | <p>[3] Carroll, M.S. & Paveglio, T.B. 2016 Using community archetypes to better understand differential community adaptation to wildfire risk.</p> |
|---|---|--|

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.

[4] Bliege Bird, R., Bird, D.W. & Coddington, B.F. 2016 People, ENSO, and fire in Australia: fire regimes and climate controls in hummock grasslands. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[5] Mistry, J., Bilbao, B. & Berardi, A. 2016 Community owned solutions for fire management in tropical ecosystems: case studies from Indigenous communities of South America. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[6] Pyne, S.J. 2016 Fire in the mind: changing understandings of fire in Western civilization. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[7] Balch, J.K., Nagy, R.C., Archibald, S., Bowman, D.M.J.S., Moritz, M.A., Roos, C.I., Scott, A.C. & Williamson, G.J. 2016 Global combustion: the connection between fossil fuel and biomass burning emissions (1997-2010). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[8] Belcher, C.M. 2016 The influence of leaf morphology on litter flammability and its utility for interpreting palaeofire. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[9] Bowman, D.M.J.S., Perry, G.L.W., Higgins, S.I., Johnson, C.N., Fuhlendorf, S.D. & Murphy, B.P. 2016 Pyrodiversity and biodiversity are coupled because fire is embedded in food-webs. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[10] Hardiman, M., Scott, A.C., Pinter, N., Anderson, R.S., Ejarque, A., Carter-Champion, A. & Staff, R. 2016 Fire history on California Channel Islands spanning human arrival in the Americas. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[11] Power, M.J., Whitney, B.S., Mayle, F.E., Neves, D.M., de Boer, E. & Maclean, K.S. 2016 Fire, climate and vegetation linkages in the Bolivian Chiquitano Seasonally Dry Tropical Forest. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[12] Swetnam, T.W., Farella, J., Roos, C.I., Liebmann, M.J., Falk, D.A. & Allen, C.D. 2016 Multi-Scale Perspectives of Fire, Climate and Humans in Western North America and the Jemez Mountains, U.S.A. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[13] Moritz, M.A., Batllori, E., Bradstock, R.A., Gill, A.M., Handmer, J., Hessburg, P.F., Leonard, J., McCaffrey, S., Odion, D.C. & Schoennagel, T. 2014 Learning to coexist with wildfire. *Nature* **515**, 58-66.

[14] Smith, A.M.S., Kolden, C.A., Paveglio, T.B., Cochrane, M.A., Bowman, D.M., Moritz, M.A., Kliskey, A.D., Alessa, L., Hudak, A.T., Hoffman, C.M., et al. 2016 The Science of Fire: Achieving Fire-Resilient Communities. *BioScience* **66**, 130-146. (doi:10.1093/biosci/biv182).

[15] Roos, C.I., Bowman, D.M.J.S., Balch, J.K., Artaxo, P., Bond, W.J., Cochrane, M., D'Antonio, C.M., DeFries, R., Mack, M., Johnston, F.H., et al. 2014 Pyrogeography, historical ecology, and the human dimensions of fire regimes. *Journal of Biogeography* **41**, 833-836. (doi:10.1111/jbi.12285).

[16] Kull, C.A. 2004 *Isle of fire: the political ecology of landscape burning in Madagascar*, University of Chicago Press.

[17] Bond, W.J. & Zaloumis, N.P. 2016 The deforestation story: testing for anthropogenic origins of Africa's flammable grasslands. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[18] Archibald, S. 2016 Managing the human component of fire regimes in tropical grassy ecosystems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.*

[19] Liebmann, M.J., Farella, J., Roos, C.I., Stack, A., Martini, S. & Swetnam, T.W. 2016 Native American depopulation, reforestation, and fire regimes in the Southwest United States, 1492-1900 CE. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, E696-E704. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1521744113).

[20] Nevle, R.J., Bird, D.K., Ruddiman, W.F. & Dull, R.A. 2011 Neotropical human-landscape interactions, fire, and atmospheric CO₂ during European conquest. *The Holocene* **21**, 853-864. (doi:10.1177/0959683611404578).

[21] Ruddiman, W.F. 2010 *Plows, plagues, and petroleum: how humans took control of climate*, Princeton University Press.

[22] Pyne, S.J. 2007 Problems, paradoxes, paradigms: triangulating fire research. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **16**, 271-276.

[23] Spies, T.A., White, E.M., Kline, J.D., Fischer, A.P., Ager, A., Bailey, J., Bolte, J., Koch, J., Platt, E., Olsen, C.S., et al. 2014 Examining fire-prone forest landscapes as coupled human and natural systems. *Ecology and Society* **19**. (doi:10.5751/ES-06584-190309).

[24] Fischer, A.P., Spies, T.A., Steelman, T.A., Mosely, C., Johnson, B.R., Bailey, J.D., Ager, A.A., Bourgeron, P., Charnley, S., Collins, B.M., et al. 2016 Wildfire Risk as Socio-Ecological Pathology. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, In press.

[25] Krawchuk, M.A., Moritz, M.A., Parisien, M.-A., Van Dorn, J. & Hayhoe, K. 2009 Global Pyrogeography: the Current and Future Distribution of Wildfire. *PLoS One* **4**, e5102.

[26] Bowman, D.M.J.S., Balch, J.K., Artaxo, P., Bond, W.J., Cochrane, M.A., D'Antonio, C.M., DeFries, R.S., Johnston, F.H., Keeley, J.E., Krawchuk, M.A., et al. 2011 The human dimension of fire regimes on Earth. *Journal of Biogeography* **38**, 2223-2236.

[27] Huffman, M.R. 2013 The Many Elements of Traditional Fire Knowledge: Synthesis, Classification, and Aids to Cross-cultural Problem Solving in Fire-dependent Systems Around the World. *Ecology and Society* **18**. (doi:10.5751/es-05843-180403).

[28] Murphy, B.P. & Bowman, D.M.J.S. 2007 The interdependence of fire, grass, kangaroos and Australian Aborigines: a case study from central Arnhem Land, northern Australia. *Journal of Biogeography* **34**, 237-250. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01591.x).

[29] Bliege Bird, R., Bird, D.W., Coddington, B.F., Parker, C.H. & Jones, J.H. 2008 The "Fire Stick Farming" Hypothesis: Australian Aboriginal Foraging Strategies, Biodiversity, and Anthropogenic Fire Mosaics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **105**, 14796-14801.

- [30] Boyd, R. 1999 Indians, Fire and the Land in the Pacific Northwest. (Corvallis, OR, Oregon State University Press.
- [31] Anderson, K. 2005 *Tending the wild: Native American knowledge and the management of California's natural resources*, Univ of California Press.
- [32] Stewart, O.C. 2002 *Forgotten Fires: Native Americans and the Transient Wilderness*. Norman, OK, University of Oklahoma Press.
- [33] Lewis, H.T. 1978 Traditional uses of fire by Indians in northern Alberta. *Current Anthropology* **19**, 401-402.
- [34] Lewis, H.T. 1973 *Patterns of Indian Burning in California: Ecology and Ethnohistory*. Ramona, CA, Ballena Press.
- [35] Schmerbeck, J., Kohli, A. & Seeland, K. 2015 Ecosystem services and forest fires in India — Context and policy implications from a case study in Andhra Pradesh. *Forest Policy and Economics* **50**, 337-346. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2014.09.012>).
- [36] Coughlan, M.R. 2013 Errakina: Pastoral Fire Use and Landscape Memory In the Basque Region of the French Western Pyrenees. *Journal of Ethnobiology* **33**, 86-104.
- [37] Laris, P. 2002 Burning the Seasonal Mosaic: Preventative Burning Strategies in the Wooded Savanna of Southern Mali. *Human Ecology: An Interdisciplinary Journal* **30**, 155.
- [38] Laris, P., Caillault, S., Dadashi, S. & Jo, A. 2015 The Human Ecology and Geography of Burning in an Unstable Savanna Environment. *Journal of Ethnobiology* **35**, 111-139.
- [39] Mistry, J., Berardi, A., Andrade, V., Krahô, T., Krahô, P. & Leonardos, O. 2005 Indigenous Fire Management in the cerrado of Brazil: The Case of the Krahô of Tocantins. *Human Ecology: An Interdisciplinary Journal* **33**, 365-386.
- [40] Russell-Smith, J., Djoeroemana, S., Maan, J. & Pandanga, P. 2007 Rural Livelihoods and Burning Practices in Savanna Landscapes of Nusa Tenggara Timur, Eastern Indonesia. *Human Ecology: An Interdisciplinary Journal* **35**, 345-359.
- [41] Shaffer, L.J. 2010 Indigenous fire use to manage savanna landscapes in southern Mozambique. *Fire Ecology* **6**, 43-59.
- [42] Scott, A.C., Bowman, D.M., Bond, W.J., Pyne, S.J. & Alexander, M.E. 2014 *Fire on earth: an introduction*. Chichester, Wiley-Blackwell.
- [43] Johnston, F.H., Melody, S. & Bowman, D.M.J.S. 2016 The pyrohealth transition – how fire emissions have influenced human health from the Pleistocene to the Anthropocene. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
- [44] Martin, D.A. 2016 At the Nexus of Fire, Water and Society. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
- [45] Santin, C. & Doerr, S.H. 2016 Fire effects on soils: the human dimension. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
- [46] Pinchot, G. 1911 A Primer of Forestry Part I: The forest. (ed. U.D.o. Forestry). Washington, Government Printing Office.
- [47] Pyne, S.J. 1982 *Fire in America : a cultural history of wildland and rural fire*. Princeton, New Jersey, USA, Princeton University Press; xvi, 654 p. p.
- [48] Alvard, M.S. 1998 Evolutionary ecology and resource conservation. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews* **7**, 62-74. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1520-6505(1998)7:2<62::AID-EVAN3>3.0.CO;2-I).
- [49] Codding, B., Bliege Bird, R., Kauhanen, P. & Bird, D. 2014 Conservation or Co-evolution? Intermediate Levels of Aboriginal Burning and Hunting Have Positive Effects on Kangaroo Populations in Western Australia. *Human Ecology*, 1-11. (doi:10.1007/s10745-014-9682-4).
- [50] Welch, J.R. 2014 Xavante Ritual Hunting: Anthropogenic Fire, Reciprocity, and Collective Landscape Management in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Human Ecology* **42**, 47-59.
- [51] Weisshaupt, B.R., Carroll, M.S., Blatner, K.A., Robinson, W.D. & Jakes, P.J. 2005 Acceptability of smoke from prescribed forest burning in the Northern Inland West: A focus group approach. *Journal of Forestry* **103**, 189-193.
- [52] Ascher, T.J., Wilson, R.S. & Toman, E. 2013 The importance of affect, perceived risk and perceived benefit in understanding support for fuels management among wildland–urban interface residents. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **22**, 267-276.
- [53] Vaarzon-Morel, P. & Gabrys, K. 2008 Fire on the horizon: contemporary Aboriginal burning issues in the Tanami Desert, central Australia. *GeoJournal* **74**, 465-476. (doi:10.1007/s10708-008-9235-8).
- [54] Berkes, F. & Folke, C. 2002 Back to the Future: Ecosystem Dynamics and Local Knowledge. In *Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems* (eds. L.H. Gunderson & C.S. Holling), pp. 121-146. Washington, D.C., Island Press.
- [55] Bird, R.B. & Power, E.A. 2015 Prosocial signaling and cooperation among Martu hunters. *Evolution and Human Behavior*.
- [56] Gowlett, J.A.J. 2016 The discovery of fire by humans: a long and convoluted process. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
- [57] Parr, C. & Brockett, B. 1999 Patch-mosaic burning: a new paradigm for savanna fire management in protected areas? *Koedoe* **42**, 117-130.
- [58] Brockett, B., Biggs, H. & Van Wilgen, B. 2001 A patch mosaic burning system for conservation areas in southern African savannas. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **10**, 169-183.
- [59] Vigilante, T., Bowman, D.M., Fisher, R., Russell-Smith, J. & Yates, C. 2004 Contemporary landscape burning patterns in the far North Kimberley region of north-west Australia: human influences and environmental determinants. *Journal of Biogeography* **31**, 1317-1333.
- [60] Bird, R.B., Codding, B.F., Kauhanen, P.G. & Bird, D.W. 2012 Aboriginal hunting buffers climate-driven fire-size variability in Australia's spinifex grasslands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 10287-10292.
- [61] Bird, R.B., Bird, D.W., Codding, B.F., Parker, C.H. & Jones, J.H. 2008 The "fire stick farming" hypothesis: Australian Aboriginal foraging strategies, biodiversity, and anthropogenic fire mosaics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**, 14796.

- [62] Trauernicht, C., Brook, B.W., Murphy, B.P., Williamson, G.J. & Bowman, D.M.J.S. 2015 Local and global pyrogeographic evidence that indigenous fire management creates pyrodiversity. *Ecology and Evolution* **5**, 1908-1918. (doi:10.1002/ece3.1494).
- [63] Bliege Bird, R., Codding, B.F., Kauhanen, P.G. & Bird, D.W. 2012 Aboriginal hunting buffers climate-driven fire-size variability in Australia's spinifex grasslands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 10287-10292. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1204585109).
- [64] Rius, D. 2009 Anthropogenic Fire Regimes in Southwestern Europe: State of Art, Methods and Implications. In *Quaternary Ecosystem Science Training Internation Group: Summer School Paleocology and Paleofire*. (Arc-et-Senans, France).
- [65] Pérez-Díaz, S., López-Sáez, J. & Galop, D. 2014 Vegetation dynamics and human activity in the Western Pyrenean region during the Holocene. *Quaternary International* **30**, e13.
- [66] Leigh, D., Gragson, T. & Coughlan, M. 2015 Colluvial legacies of millennial landscape change on individual hillsides, place-based investigation in the western Pyrenees Mountains. *Quaternary International*.
- [67] Coughlan, M.R. 2014 Farmers, flames and forests: historical ecology of pastoral fire use and landscape change in the french western pyrenees 1830-2011. *Forest Ecology and Management* **312**, 55-66.
- [68] Bliege Bird, R., Taylor, N., Codding, B.F. & Bird, D.W. 2013 Niche construction and Dreaming logic: aboriginal patch mosaic burning and varanid lizards (*Varanus gouldii*) in Australia. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* **280**. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2297).
- [69] Bird, D.W., Bliege Bird, R., Codding, B.F. & Taylor, N. 2016 A landscape architecture of fire: cultural emergence and ecological pyrodiversity in Australia's Western Desert. *Current Anthropology* **In press**.
- [70] Bliege Bird, R., Taylor, N., Codding, B.F. & Bird, D.W. 2016 Economic, social and ecological contexts of hunting, sharing and fire in the Western Desert of Australia. In *Why Forage? Hunters and Gatherers in the 21st Century* (eds. B.F. Codding & K.L. Kramer), pp. 213-230. Santa Fe, NM, University of New Mexico Press.
- [71] Bliege Bird, R., Scelza, B., Bird, D.W. & Smith, E.A. 2012 The hierarchy of virtue: mutualism, altruism and signaling in Martu women's cooperative hunting. *Evolution and Human Behavior* **33**, 64-78. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2011.05.007>).
- [72] Bliege Bird, R. & Power, E.A. 2015 Prosocial signaling and cooperation among Martu hunters. *Evolution and Human Behavior* **36**, 389-397. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2015.02.003>).
- [73] Burrows, N.D., Burbridge, A.A., Fuller, P.J. & Behn, G. 2006 Evidence of altered fire regimes in the Western Desert region of Australia. *Conservation Science Western Australia* **5**, 14-26.
- [74] Burrows, N. & Christensen, P. 1990 A survey of Aboriginal fire patterns in the Western Desert of Australia. In *USDA Forest Service General Technical Report SE-69:20-24* (pp. 297-305).
- [75] Woinarski, J.C.Z., Burbidge, A.A. & Harrison, P.L. 2015 Ongoing unraveling of a continental fauna: Decline and extinction of Australian mammals since European settlement. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 4531-4540. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1417301112).
- [76] Letnic, M. & Dickman, C.R. 2006 Boom means bust: interactions between the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO), rainfall and the processes threatening mammal species in arid Australia. *Biodiversity & Conservation* **15**, 3847-3880. (doi:10.1007/s10531-005-0601-2).
- [77] Letnic, M. & Dickman, C.R. 2010 Resource pulses and mammalian dynamics: conceptual models for hummock grasslands and other Australian desert habitats. *Biological Reviews* **85**, 501-521. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2009.00113.x).
- [78] O'Donnell, A.J., Cook, E.R., Palmer, J.G., Turney, C.S.M., Page, G.F.M. & Grierson, P.F. 2015 Tree Rings Show Recent High Summer-Autumn Precipitation in Northwest Australia Is Unprecedented within the Last Two Centuries. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0128533. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128533).
- [79] Bradstock, R.A. 2010 A biogeographic model of fire regimes in Australia: current and future implications. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **19**, 145-158. (doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00512.x).
- [80] Harris, S., Tapper, N., Packham, D., Orlove, B. & Nicholls, N. 2008 The relationship between the monsoonal summer rain and dry-season fire activity of northern Australia. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **17**, 674-684. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WF06160>).
- [81] Westerling, A.L. 2016 Increasing western US forest wildfire activity: sensitivity to changes in the timing of Spring. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
- [82] Westerling, A.L., Hidalgo, H.G., Cayan, D.R. & Swetnam, T.W. 2006 Warming and earlier spring increase Western U.S. forest wildfire activity. *Science* **313**, 940-943.
- [83] Theobald, D.M. & Romme, W.H. 2007 Expansion of the US wildland-urban interface. *Landscape and Urban Planning* **83**, 340-354. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2007.06.002>).
- [84] Doerr, S.H. & Santin, C. 2016 Global trends in wildfire and its impacts: perceptions and realities in a changing world. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
- [85] Moritz, M.A., Parisien, M.-A., Batllori, E., Krawchuk, M.A., Van Dorn, J., Ganz, D.J. & Hayhoe, K. 2012 Climate change and disruptions to global fire activity. *Ecosphere* **3**, art49. (doi:10.1890/es11-00345.1).
- [86] de Jong, M.C., Wooster, M.J., Kitchen, K., Manley, C. & Gazzard, R. 2016 Calibration and evaluation of the Canadian Forest Fire Weather Index (FWI) System for improved wildland fire danger rating in the UK *Natural Hazards and Earth Systems Sciences* **In press**.
- [87] Pausas, J.G. 2004 Changes in Fire and Climate in the Eastern Iberian Peninsula (Mediterranean Basin). *Climatic Change* **63**, 337-350. (doi:10.1023/B:CLIM.0000018508.94901.9c).
- [88] McMorrow, J. 2011 Wildfire in the United Kingdom: status and key issues. In *Proceedings of the second conference on the human dimensions of wildland fire* (eds. S.M. McCaffrey & C.L. Fisher), pp. 44-56. Newtown

- Square, PA, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station.
- [89] San-Miguel-Ayanz, J.s., Moreno, J.M. & Camia, A. 2013 Analysis of large fires in European Mediterranean landscapes: Lessons learned and perspectives. *Forest Ecology and Management* **294**, 11-22. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.10.050>).
- [90] Bradshaw, R.H.W. & Boyle, J. 2007 Global and regional reconstruction of Holocene vegetation, fire and land-use. *PAGES Newsletter* **15**, 19-21.
- [91] Perry, G.L.W., Wilmshurst, J.M., McGlone, M.S., McWethy, D.B. & Whitlock, C. 2012 Explaining fire-driven landscape transformation during the Initial Burning Period of New Zealand's prehistory. *Global Change Biology* **18**, 1609-1621. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02631.x).
- [92] Groves, J.A., Waller, M.P., Grant, M.J. & Schofield, J.E. 2012 Long-term development of a cultural landscape: the origins and dynamics of lowland heathland in southern England. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* **21**, 453-470. (doi:10.1007/s00334-012-0372-0).
- [93] Pyne, S.J. 2012 *Fire: Nature and Culture*. London, UK, Reaktion Books.
- [94] Lázaro, A. 2009 Collection and mapping of prescribed burning practices in Europe: A first approach. *Int. Forest Fire News*, 110-119.
- [95] Kvamme, M. & Kaland, P. 2009 Prescribed burning of coastal heathlands in Western Norway: History and present day experiences. *Int. Forest Fire News*, 35-50.
- [96] Rackham, O. 2008 Ancient woodlands: modern threats. *New Phytologist* **180**, 571-586. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02579.x).
- [97] Lampin-Maillet, C., Mantzavelas, A., Galiana, L., Jappiot, M., Long, M., Herrero, G., Karlsson, O., Iossifina, A., Thalia, L. & Thanassis, P. 2010 Wildland urban interfaces, fire behaviour and vulnerability: characterization, mapping and assessment. In *Towards Integrated Fire Management - Outcomes of the European Project Fire Paradox*, Lampin-Maillet, C. (p. 21 p., European Forest Institute.
- [98] Miller, S.R. & Wade, D. 2003 Re-introducing fire at the urban/wild-land interface: planning for success. *Forestry* **76**, 253-260. (doi:10.1093/forestry/76.2.253).
- [99] Reed, M.S., Hubacek, K., Bonn, A., Burt, T.P., Holden, J., Stringer, L.C., Beharry-Borg, N., Buckmaster, S., Chapman, D., Chapman, P.J., et al. 2013 Anticipating and Managing Future Trade-offs and Complementarities between Ecosystem Services. *Ecology and Society* **18**. (doi:10.5751/ES-04924-180105).
- [100] Quinn, C.H., Fraser, E.D.G., Hubacek, K. & Reed, M.S. 2010 Property rights in UK uplands and the implications for policy and management. *Ecological Economics* **69**, 1355-1363. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.02.006>).
- [101] Scott, A.C. 2000 The Pre-Quaternary history of fire. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **164**, 297-345.
- [102] Innes, J.B. & Simmons, I.G. 2000 Mid-Holocene charcoal stratigraphy, fire history and palaeoecology at North Gill, North York Moors, UK. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **164**, 151-165. (doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182\(00\)00184-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(00)00184-X)).
- [103] Flannigan, M.D., Krawchuk, M.A., de Groot, W.J., Wotton, B.M. & Gowman, L.M. 2009 Implications of changing climate for global wildland fire. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **18**, 483-507. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WF08187>).
- [104] Albertson, K., Aylen, J., Cavan, G. & McMorrough, J. 2010 Climate change and the future occurrence of moorland wildfires in the Peak District of the UK. *Climate Research* **45**, 105-118.
- [105] Commission, F. 2014 *Building wildfire resilience into forest management planning: Forestry Commission Practice Guide*. Edinburgh, UK, Forestry Commission.
- [106] Jollands, M., Morris, J. & Moffat, A.J. 2011 *Wildfires in Wales*. Farnham, UK, Forest Research.
- [107] Bullock, J.M. & Webb, N.R. 1995 Responses to severe fires in heathland mosaics in Southern England. *Biological Conservation* **73**, 207-214.
- (doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207\(94\)00110-C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(94)00110-C)).
- [108] Turetsky, M.R., Benscoter, B., Page, S., Rein, G., van der Werf, G.R. & Watts, A. 2015 Global vulnerability of peatlands to fire and carbon loss. *Nature Geoscience* **8**, 11-14. (doi:10.1038/ngeo2325 <http://www.nature.com/ngeo/journal/v8/n1/abs/ngeo2325.html#supplementary-information>).
- [109] Davies, G.M., Kettridge, N., Stoof, C.R., Gray, A., Ascoli, D., Fernandes, P.M., Marrs, R., Allen, K.A., Doerr, S.H., Clay, G.D., et al. 2016 The role of fire in U.K peatland and moorland management: the need for informed, unbiased debate. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
- [110] Rein, G., Cleaver, N., Ashton, C., Pironi, P. & Torero, J.L. 2008 The severity of smouldering peat fires and damage to the forest soil. *CATENA* **74**, 304-309. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2008.05.008>).
- [111] Oxborough, N. & Gazzard, R. 2011 Swinley Forest fire. *Fire Risk Management*, 12-15.
- [112] McMorrough, J., Cavan, G., Walker, J., Aylen, J., Legg, C., Quinn, C., Hubacek, K., Thorp, S., M., T. & Jones, M. 2010 *Fire Interdisciplinary Research on Ecosystem Services (FIRES) Policy Brief*. http://www.fires-seminars.org.uk/downloads/FIRES_Policy_Brief_final.pdf.
- [113] Yallop, A.R., Thacker, J.I., Thomas, G., Stephens, M., Clutterbuck, B., Brewer, T. & Sannier, C.A.D. 2006 The extent and intensity of management burning in the English uplands. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **43**, 1138-1148. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01222.x).
- [114] Douglas, D.J.T., Buchanan, G.M., Thompson, P., Amar, A., Fielding, D.A., Redpath, S.M. & Wilson, J.D. 2015 Vegetation burning for game management in the UK uplands is increasing and overlaps spatially with soil carbon and protected areas. *Biological Conservation* **191**, 243-250. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.06.014>).
- [115] Davies, G.M., Gray, A., Rein, G. & Legg, C.J. 2013 Peat consumption and carbon loss due to smouldering wildfire in a temperate peatland. *Forest Ecology and Management* **308**, 169-177.

- (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.07.051>).
- [116] Anderson, P., Buckler, M. & Walker, J. 2008 Moorland restoration: potential and progress. In *Drivers of environmental change in uplands* (eds. A. Bonn, K. Hubacek, J. Stewart & T.E.A. Allott), pp. 432-447. Abingdon, Routledge.
- [117] Hedley, P. 2014 Addressing the UK's wildfire risk: a collaborative approach. *International Fire Fighter*, 92-94.
- [118] Hann, W.J. & Bunnell, D.L. 2001 Fire and land management planning and implementation across multiple scales. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **10**, 389-403. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WF01037>).
- [119] Thompson, M.P. & Calkin, D.E. 2011 Uncertainty and risk in wildland fire management: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management* **92**, 1895-1909. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvma.2011.03.015>).
- [120] Hincks, T., Malamud, B., Sparks, R., Wooster, M. & Lynham, T. 2013 Risk assessment and management of wildfires. In *Risk and Uncertainty Assessment for Natural Hazards* (eds. J. Rougier, S. Sparks & L.J. Hill), pp. 398-443. Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press.
- [121] Johnston, F.H., Henderson, S.B., Chen, Y., Randerson, J.T., Marlier, M., DeFries, R.S., Kinney, P., Bowman, D.M.J.S. & Brauer, M. 2012 Estimated Global Mortality Attributable to Smoke from Landscape Fires. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **120**, 659-701. (doi:10.1289/ehp.1104422).
- [122] Karlsson, E., Hole, L., Tømmervik, H. & Kobets, E. 2015 Air pollution in the Nordic countries from biomass burning in Eastern Europe – Policy brief. *Nordic Council of Ministers*, 766. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.6027/ANP2015-766>).
- [123] Page, S.E. & Hooijer, A. 2016 In the line of fire: the peatlands of Southeast Asia. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
- [124] Chen, T.-T., Chuang, K.-J., Chiang, L.-L., Chen, C.-C., Yeh, C.-T., Wang, L.-S., Gregory, C., Jones, T., BéruBé, K., Lee, C.-N., et al. 2014 Characterization of the interactions between protein and carbon black. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **264**, 127-135. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.10.055>).
- [125] Mott, J.A., Mannino, D.M., Alverson, C.J., Kiyu, A., Hashim, J., Lee, T., Falter, K. & Redd, S.C. 2005 Cardiorespiratory hospitalizations associated with smoke exposure during the 1997 Southeast Asian forest fires. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health* **208**, 75-85. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2005.01.018>).
- [126] Sastry, N. 2002 Forest fires, air pollution, and mortality in Southeast Asia. *Demography* **39**, 1-23. (doi:10.1353/dem.2002.0009).
- [127] Jayachandran, S. 2009 Air Quality and Early-Life Mortality: Evidence from Indonesia's Wildfires. *Journal of Human Resources* **44**, 916-954. (doi:10.3368/jhr.44.4.916).
- [128] van der Werf, G.R., Peters, W., van Leeuwen, T.T. & Giglio, L. 2013 What could have caused pre-industrial biomass burning emissions to exceed current rates? *Clim. Past* **9**, 289-306. (doi:10.5194/cp-9-289-2013).
- [129] Langmann, B., Duncan, B., Textor, C., Trentmann, J. & van der Werf, G.R. 2009 Vegetation fire emissions and their impact on air pollution and climate. *Atmospheric Environment* **43**, 107-116. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.047>).
- [130] Page, S.E., Siegert, F., Rieley, J.O., Boehm, H.-D.V., Jaya, A. & Limin, S. 2002 The amount of carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997. *Nature* **420**, 61-65.
- [131] van der Werf, G.R., Randerson, J.T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G.J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P.S., Morton, D.C., DeFries, R.S., Jin, Y. & van Leeuwen, T.T. 2010 Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **10**, 11707-11735. (doi:10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010).
- [132] Yu, Z.C. 2012 Northern peatland carbon stocks and dynamics: a review. *Biogeosciences* **9**, 4071-4085. (doi:10.5194/bg-9-4071-2012).
- [133] Hansen, J., Ruedy, R., Sato, M. & Lo, K. 2010 GLOBAL SURFACE TEMPERATURE CHANGE. *Reviews of Geophysics* **48**, n/a-n/a. (doi:10.1029/2010RG000345).
- [134] Liefers, V.J. & Rothwell, R.L. 1987 Effects of drainage on substrate temperature and phenology of some trees and shrubs in an Alberta peatland. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **17**, 97-104. (doi:10.1139/x87-019).
- [135] Connolly, J. & Holden, N.M. 2013 CLASSIFICATION OF PEATLAND DISTURBANCE. *Land Degradation & Development* **24**, 548-555. (doi:10.1002/ldr.1149).
- [136] Kettridge, N., Turetsky, M.R., Sherwood, J.H., Thompson, D.K., Miller, C.A., Benscoter, B.W., Flannigan, M.D., Wotton, B.M. & Waddington, J.M. 2015 Moderate drop in water table increases peatland vulnerability to post-fire regime shift. *Scientific Reports* **5**, 8063. (doi:10.1038/srep08063) <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep08063#supplementary-information>.
- [137] Damoah, R., Spichtinger, N., Forster, C., James, P., Mattis, I., Wandler, U., Beirle, S., Wagner, T. & Stohl, A. 2004 Around the world in 17 days - hemispheric-scale transport of forest fire smoke from Russia in May 2003. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **4**, 1311-1321. (doi:10.5194/acp-4-1311-2004).
- [138] Rotstain, L.D., Cai, W., Dix, M.R., Farquhar, G.D., Feng, Y., Ginoux, P., Herzog, M., Ito, A., Penner, J.E. & Roderick, M.L. 2006 Have Australian Rainfall and Cloudiness Increased Due to the Remote Effects of Asian Anthropogenic Aerosols? *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **112**.
- [139] Duit, A. & Galaz, V. 2008 Governance and Complexity—Emerging Issues for Governance Theory. *Governance* **21**, 311-335. (doi:10.1111/j.1468-0491.2008.00402.x).
- [140] Pahl-Wostl, C. 2009 A conceptual framework for analysing adaptive capacity and multi-level learning processes in resource governance regimes. *Global Environmental Change* **19**, 354-365. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2009.06.001>).
- [141] Murdiyarso, D., Lebel, L., Gintings, A.N., Tampubolon, S.M.H., Heil, A. & Wasson, M. 2004 Policy responses to complex environmental problems: insights from a science-policy activity on transboundary haze from vegetation fires in Southeast Asia. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **104**, 47-56.

(doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2004.01.005>).

[142] Alcamo, J.M., Vörösmarty, C., J., Naiman, R.J., Lettenmaier, D.P. & Pahl-Wostl, C. 2008 A grand challenge for freshwater research: understanding the global water system. *Environmental Research Letters* 3, 010202.

[143] Vörösmarty, C.J., Pahl-Wostl, C., Bunn, S.E. & Lawford, R. 2013 Global water, the anthropocene and the transformation of a science. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 5, 539-550. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2013.10.005>).

[144] Bogardi, J.J., Dudgeon, D., Lawford, R., Flinderbusch, E., Meyn,

A., Pahl-Wostl, C., Vielhauer, K. & Vörösmarty, C. 2012 Water security for a planet under pressure: interconnected challenges of a changing world call for sustainable solutions. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 4, 35-43.

(doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2011.12.002>).

[145] Huitema, D., Mostert, E., Egas, W., Moellenkamp, S., Pahl-Wostl, C. & Yalcin, R. 2009 Adaptive water governance: assessing the institutional prescriptions of adaptive (co-) management from a governance perspective and defining a research agenda. *Ecology and society* 14, 26. (doi:<http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol14/iss1/art26/>).

[146] Jolly, W.M., Cochrane, M.A., Freeborn, P.H., Holden, Z.A., Brown, T.J., Williamson, G.J. & Bowman, D.M.J.S. 2015 Climate-induced variations in global wildfire danger from 1979 to 2013. *Nat Commun* 6, 7537. (doi:10.1038/ncomms8537).

[147] Keeley, J.E. 2009 Fire intensity, fire severity and burn severity: a brief review and suggested usage. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 18, 116-126. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WF07049>).

[148] Pyne, S.J. 2011 *Fire: a brief history*, University of Washington Press.

Tables

Table 1. Domains and characteristics of fire-adaptive communities

Knowledge, values, and practices (of individuals and the community as a whole)

- Derives knowledge of how to manage the land from multiple sources and perspectives
- Recognizes traditions of place-based knowledge and practices related to fire
- Identifies a range of potential fire regimes and how they might differentially affect people, ecosystems and the physical environment
- Committed to the long-term maintenance of fire-related ecosystem patterns and processes (especially those important to human provisioning and wellbeing)

Landscape relationships (socio-ecological interconnections within and across scales)

- Broad recognition by individuals of the benefits of fire-promoted resources and amenities
- Collective action supports individual benefits from fire management while protecting the public good
- Management is locally driven but interacts with policies and drivers at multiple scales
- Identifies the socio-ecological networks that are supported and derived from the fire regime
- Sustains or restores important cultural and economic relationships with fire and fire-dependent resources
- Creates vegetated landscape mosaics that produce and control the kinds of fire effects desired to achieve the above

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only